

Manual for Complex Litigation, Fourth

Federal Judicial Center 2004

Cite as

Manual for Complex Litigation,

Fourth, § ____

or

MCL 4th § ____

Manual for Complex Litigation, Fourth

Board of Editors

Judge Stanley Marcus (Ct. of App., 11th Cir.), *chair*

Judge John G. Koeltl (S.D.N.Y.) Judge Barefoot Sanders (N.D. Tex.)

Judge J. Frederick Motz (D. Md.) Sheila Birnbaum, Esq. (N.Y., N.Y.)

Judge Lee H. Rosenthal (S.D. Tex.) Frank A. Ray, Esq. (Columbus, Ohio)

Judge Fern M. Smith (N.D. Cal.),
director, Federal Judicial Center 1999–2003

Federal Judicial Center 2004

The *Manual for Complex Litigation, Fourth* has been produced under the auspices of the Federal Judicial Center. The analyses and recommendations are those of the *Manual's* Board of Editors.

Blank page inserted for correct pagination
when printing double-sided copies.

Contents

Preface xvii

Acknowledgments xix

Introduction 1

Part I: Overview 5

10. General Principles 7

- .1 Judicial Supervision 8
 - .11 Early Identification and Control 9
 - .12 Assignment Plan 9
 - .121 Recusal/Disqualification 10
 - .122 Other Judges 11
 - .123 Related Litigation 11
 - .13 Effective Management 12
 - .14 Supervisory Referrals to Magistrate Judges and Special Masters 13
 - .15 Sanctions 15
 - .151 General Principles 15
 - .152 Sources of Authority 16
 - .153 Considerations in Imposing 17
 - .154 Types 18
 - .155 Procedure 21
- .2 Role of Counsel 22
 - .21 Responsibilities in Complex Litigation 22
 - .22 Coordination in Multiparty Litigation—Lead/Liaison Counsel and Committees 24
 - .221 Organizational Structures 24
 - .222 Powers and Responsibilities 26
 - .223 Compensation 26
 - .224 Court’s Responsibilities 26
 - .225 Related Litigation 28
 - .23 Withdrawal and Disqualification 28

11. Pretrial Procedures 31

- .1 Preliminary Matters 32
 - .11 Scheduling the Initial Conference 32
 - .12 Interim Measures 33
 - .13 Prediscovery Disclosure 34
- .2 Conferences 36
 - .21 Initial Conference and Orders 36
 - .211 Case-Management Plan 36
 - .212 Scheduling Order 39
 - .213 Class Actions 40
 - .214 Settlement 40
 - .22 Subsequent Conferences 40
 - .23 Attendance 41
- .3 Management of Issues 42
 - .31 Relationship to Discovery 42
 - .32 Pleading and Motion Practice 43
 - .33 Identifying, Defining, and Resolving Issues 44
 - .34 Summary Judgment 46

Manual for Complex Litigation, Fourth

.4	Discovery	49
.41	Relationship to Issues	50
.42	Planning and Control	51
.421	Discovery Plan/Scheduling Conference	51
.422	Limitations	53
.423	Other Practices to Save Time and Expense	56
.424	Resolution of Discovery Disputes	59
.43	Privilege Claims and Protective Orders	62
.431	Claims of Privilege/Full Protection	63
.432	Limited Disclosure/Protective Orders	64
.433	Allocation of Costs	69
.44	Documents	71
.441	Identification System	71
.442	Preservation	72
.443	Rule 34 Requests/Procedures for Responding	74
.444	Document Depositories	75
.445	Evidentiary Foundation for Documents	77
.446	Discovery of Computerized Data	77
.447	Discovery from Nonparties	82
.45	Depositions	83
.451	Limitations and Controls	83
.452	Cost-Saving Measures	85
.453	Deferred Supplemental Depositions	87
.454	Scheduling	88
.455	Coordination with Related Litigation	89
.456	Control of Abusive Conduct	89
.46	Interrogatories	90
.461	Purposes	90
.462	Limitations	91
.463	Responses	92
.464	Other Practices to Save Time and Expense	92
.47	Stipulations of Fact/Requests for Admission	94
.471	Stipulations of Fact	94
.472	Requests for Admission	95
.473	Statements of Contentions and Proof	96
.474	Requests for Judicial Notice	97
.48	Disclosure and Discovery of Expert Opinions	97
.481	Trial Experts	97
.482	Consulting Experts	99
.483	Court-Appointed Experts	100
.49	Special Problems	100
.491	Government Investigations/Grand Jury Materials	100
.492	Summaries	101
.493	Sampling/Opinion Surveys	102
.494	Extraterritorial Discovery	104
.5	Special Referrals	111
.51	Court-Appointed Experts and Technical Advisors	111
.52	Special Masters	114
.53	Magistrate Judges Under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)	117
.54	Other Referrals	118
.6	Final Pretrial Conference/Preparation for Trial	118
.61	Date and Place of Trial	119
.62	Reevaluation of Jury Demands	120
.63	Structure of Trial	121
.631	Consolidation	121

Contents

.632 Separate Trials	122
.633 Special Verdicts and Interrogatories	123
.64 Procedures to Expedite Presentation of Evidence	124
.641 Statements of Facts and Evidence	124
.642 Pretrial Rulings on Objections	124
.643 Disclosure of and Objections to Digital Evidence and Illustrative Aids	126
.644 Limits on Evidence	127
.645 Use of Courtroom Technology to Facilitate Evidence Presentation	127
.65 Proposed Jury Instructions	128
.66 Briefs and Final Pretrial Motions	129
.67 Final Pretrial Order	129
 12. Trial	131
.1 Administration	132
.11 Trial Schedule	132
.12 Courthouse Facilities	133
.13 Managing Exhibits	134
.14 Transcripts	135
.15 Conferences During Trial	135
.2 Conduct of Trial	136
.21 Opening Statements	136
.22 Special Procedures for Multiparty Cases	137
.23 Advance Notice of Evidence and Order of Proof/Preclusion Orders	138
.24 The Judge's Role	139
.3 Presentation of Evidence	139
.31 Glossaries/Indexes/Demonstrative Aids	140
.32 Use of Exhibits	141
.33 Depositions	143
.331 Summaries	143
.332 Editing, Designations, and Extracts	143
.333 Presentation/Videotaped Depositions	144
.334 Alternative Means of Presenting Testimony	145
.34 Sequencing of Evidence and Arguments	146
.35 Judicial Control/Time Limits	147
.4 Jury Trials	150
.41 Impaneling the Jury	150
.411 Size of the Venire and Panel	150
.412 Voir Dire	151
.413 Peremptory Challenges	152
.42 Juror Note Taking/Notebooks/Questions	152
.421 Note Taking	152
.422 Juror Notebooks	153
.423 Juror Questions	153
.43 Jury Instructions	154
.431 General Principles	154
.432 Preliminary Instructions	154
.433 Interim and Limiting Instructions	156
.434 Final Instructions	156
.435 Jurors' Use of Exhibits During Deliberations	158
.436 Supplemental Instructions and Readbacks	158
.44 Avoiding Mistrial	159
.45 Verdicts	160
.451 Special Verdicts and General Verdicts with Interrogatories	160
.452 Judgment as a Matter of Law	162
.453 Return of Verdict	163

Manual for Complex Litigation, Fourth

- .5 Nonjury Trials 164
 - .51 Adopted Prepared Statements of Direct Testimony 164
 - .52 Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law 165
 - .53 Procedures When Combined with Jury Trial 166
- .6 Inability of Judge to Proceed 166
- 13. Settlement 167
 - .1 Trial Judge's Role 167
 - .11 General Principles 167
 - .12 Timing/Relationship to Discovery 169
 - .13 Specific Techniques to Promote Settlement 169
 - .14 Review and Approval 172
 - .15 Alternative Processes to Encourage Settlement 174
 - .2 Special Problems 174
 - .21 Partial Settlements 174
 - .22 Agreements Affecting Discovery 176
 - .23 Side Agreements 176
 - .24 Ethical Considerations 180
- 14. Attorney Fees 183
 - .1 Eligibility for Court-Awarded Fees 184
 - .11 Types of Cases—Overview 184
 - .12 Common-Fund Cases 186
 - .121 Percentage-Fee Awards 186
 - .122 Lodestar-Fee Awards 193
 - .13 Statutory-Fee Cases 196
 - .2 Proceedings to Award Fees 199
 - .21 Setting Guidelines and Ground Rules 199
 - .211 Selecting Counsel and Establishing Fee Guidelines 200
 - .212 Staffing 201
 - .213 Maintaining Adequate and Comprehensible Records 202
 - .214 Submission of Periodic Reports 202
 - .215 Compensation for Designated Counsel 202
 - .216 Reimbursement of Expenses 203
 - .22 Motion for Attorney Fees 203
 - .221 Contents of the Fee Motion 203
 - .222 Timing 204
 - .223 Supporting Documentation and Evidence 204
 - .224 Discovery 205
 - .23 Judicial Review/Hearing and Order 205
 - .231 Judicial Review 205
 - .232 Hearing and Order 207
- 15. Judgments and Appeals 208
 - .1 Interlocutory Appeals 208
 - .11 When Permitted 208
 - .12 Proceedings While Appeal Pending 212
 - .2 Entry of Final Judgment 212
 - .3 Disposition of Materials 213

Part II: Special Problems 215

- 20. Multiple Jurisdiction Litigation 217
 - .1 Related Federal Civil Cases 218
 - .11 Cases in Same Court 218

Contents

.12 Cases in Different Federal Courts	219
.13 Multidistrict Transfers Under Section 1407	219
.131 Requests for Transfer	219
.132 During Period of Transfer	221
.133 Remand	225
.14 Coordination Between Courts	227
.2 Related Criminal and Civil Cases	228
.3 Related State and Federal Cases	229
.31 Coordination	229
.311 Identifying the Need and Opportunity	231
.312 Threshold Steps	232
.313 Specific Forms of Coordination	235
.32 Jurisdictional Conflicts	238
21. Class Actions	242
.1 Precertification Case Management	245
.11 Initial Case-Management Orders	245
.12 Precertification Communications with the Proposed Class	247
.13 Standards for Class Certification and Precertification Discovery	250
.131 Certifying a Litigation Class	250
.132 Certifying a Settlement Class	250
.133 Timing of the Certification Decision	252
.14 Precertification Discovery	255
.141 Precertification Discovery into the Rule 23(a) Requirements	257
.142 Precertification Discovery into the Rule 23(b) Requirements	260
.15 Relationship with Other Cases Pending During the Precertification Period	263
.2 Deciding the Certification Motion	266
.21 Certification Hearings and Orders	266
.22 Type and Definition of Class	268
.221 Type of Class	268
.222 Definition of Class	270
.23 Role of Subclasses	272
.24 Role of Issues Classes	272
.25 Multiple Cases and Classes: The Effect on Certification	274
.26 Appointment of the Class Representatives	276
.27 Appointment of Class Counsel	278
.271 Criteria for Appointment	278
.272 Approaches to Selecting Counsel	279
.273 Procedures for Appointment	282
.28 Interlocutory Appeals of Certification Decisions	282
.3 Postcertification Communications with Class Members	284
.31 Notices from the Court to the Class	285
.311 Certification Notice	287
.312 Settlement Notice	293
.313 Other Court Notices	296
.32 Communications from Class Members	298
.321 Class Members' Right to Elect Exclusion	298
.322 Communications Relating to Damage or Benefit Claims	299
.323 Other Communications from Class Members	299
.33 Communications Among Parties, Counsel, and Class Members	300
.4 Postcertification Case Management	302
.41 Discovery from Class Members	302
.42 Relationship with Other Cases	303
.5 Trials	306

Manual for Complex Litigation, Fourth

.6 Settlements	308
.61 Judicial Role in Reviewing a Proposed Class Action Settlement	308
.611 Issues Relating to Cases Certified for Trial and Later Settled	312
.612 Issues Relating to Cases Certified and Settled at the Same Time	313
.62 Criteria for Evaluating a Proposed Settlement	315
.63 Procedures for Reviewing a Proposed Settlement	318
.631 Obtaining Information	318
.632 Preliminary Fairness Review	320
.633 Notice of Fairness Hearing	321
.634 Fairness Hearing	322
.635 Findings and Conclusions	322
.64 Role of Other Participants in Settlement Review	323
.641 Role of Class Counsel in Settlement	323
.642 Role of Class Representatives in Settlement	325
.643 Role of Objectors in Settlement	326
.644 Role of Magistrate Judges, Special Masters, and Other Judicial Adjuncts in Settlement	329
.65 Issues Raised by Partial or Conditional Settlements	329
.651 Partial Settlements	329
.652 Conditional Settlements	330
.66 Settlement Administration	331
.661 Claims Administrator or Special Master	332
.662 Undistributed Funds	333
.7 Attorney Fee Awards	334
.71 Criteria for Approval	336
.72 Procedure for Reviewing Fee Requests	338
.721 Motions	338
.722 Notice	338
.723 Objections	338
.724 Information Supporting Request and Discovery for Fee Requests	338
.725 Required Disclosures	339
.726 Hearing and Findings	339
.727 Use of Special Masters or Magistrate Judges	340
22. Mass Torts	341
.1 Introduction	342
.2 Initial Issues in Mass Tort Suits	348
.3 Multiple Filings in Federal District Courts	355
.31 Aggregating Claims	355
.311 Criteria	357
.312 Advantages and Disadvantages of Aggregation	357
.313 Timing of Aggregation Decisions	358
.314 Obtaining Information About Common Issues and Case Values	358
.315 Test Cases	360
.316 Case Characteristics	360
.317 Role of Different State Laws	361
.318 Trial Plans	362
.32 Intradistrict Assignment to a Single Judge	362
.33 Interdistrict Transfer (Including MDL)	366
.34 Denial of Transfer	368
.341 Insufficient Common Facts	368
.342 Procedural Alternatives	369
.343 Geographical Diversity and Economy	369
.344 Maturity of Litigation	370
.35 Authority of a Judge Pending Decision by the MDL Panel	371

Contents

.36 The Tasks of an MDL Transferee Judge	372
.37 The Task of the Transferor Judge Following Remand After MDL Proceedings	376
.4 Multiple Filings in State and Federal Courts	376
.5 Multiple Filings in District and Bankruptcy Courts	378
.51 Venue, Transfer, and Consolidation	380
.511 Venue and Transfer	380
.512 Consolidation and Reassignment	380
.52 Withdrawing the Reference	381
.53 Dividing the Labor Among Judges	383
.531 MDL Transferee Judge	383
.532 Other Judges	384
.533 Bankruptcy Appeals	384
.54 Coordinating and Consolidating Tort Claims and Related Cases	385
.541 Claims Against the Debtor	386
.542 Claims Against Other Defendants	388
.543 Consolidation of Cases	388
.544 Transfer of Related Cases of Nondebtor Defendants	389
.545 Expanding the Automatic Stay or Enjoining Related Cases	391
.55 Providing Representation for Future Mass Tort Claimants	393
.56 Estimating the Value of Mass Tort Claims	397
.57 Negotiating a Reorganization Plan	398
.58 Discharging Future Claims	399
.59 Confirming a Reorganization Plan	401
.6 Case-Management Orders	403
.61 Initial Orders	403
.62 Organization of Counsel	405
.63 Subsequent Case-Management Orders	408
.631 Adding Parties	408
.632 Pleadings and Motions	409
.633 Deferred Docketing	410
.634 Issue Identification and Development	410
.635 Electronic Communications	413
.7 Class Actions in Mass Tort Cases	413
.71 Background	414
.72 Post- <i>Amchem</i> Class Certification	416
.73 Post- <i>Ortiz</i> Mandatory Limited Fund Class Settlements	421
.74 Medical Monitoring Class Actions	424
.75 Issues Classes	429
.751 Identify the Issues	430
.752 Determine Applicable Law	431
.753 Identify a Limited Set of Laws	432
.754 Subclasses to Reflect Differences in State Law	432
.755 Determine Separability of Common Issue	433
.756 Establish a Trial Plan	434
.757 Assess the Overall Impact	435
.8 Discovery	435
.81 Sampling	436
.82 Initial Disclosures	437
.83 Interrogatories	438
.84 Depositions: New Parties	438
.85 Documents	439
.86 Physical Evidence	439
.87 Experts and Scientific Evidence	440
.9 Settlement and Trial	446
.91 Judicial Role and Settlement	446

Manual for Complex Litigation, Fourth

- .92 Review of Settlement in Mass Tort Class Actions 449
 - .921 Class Certification in a Settlement Context 450
 - .922 Fairness, Adequacy, and Reasonableness of the Settlement 453
 - .923 Criteria for Evaluating the Merits of a Proposed Settlement 454
 - .924 Gathering Information and Conducting a Fairness Hearing 456
 - .925 Evaluating Nonmonetary Benefits 460
 - .926 Presenting the Decision 460
 - .927 Awarding and Allocating Attorney Fees 461
- .93 Trial 463
- 23. Expert Scientific Evidence 469
 - .1 Introduction 469
 - .2 The Use of Scientific Evidence in Complex Litigation 472
 - .21 The Federal Rules of Evidence 472
 - .22 The *Daubert* Trilogy 474
 - .23 The *Daubert* Criteria 476
 - .24 Opinions and Conclusions Under *Daubert* 478
 - .25 The *Daubert* “Fit” Test 480
 - .26 The Scope of Appellate Review 482
 - .27 Emerging Issues in the Use of Scientific Evidence 484
 - .271 The Validity of Toxicological Evidence Versus Epidemiological Evidence 485
 - .272 Aggregation of Scientific Evidence 485
 - .273 Clinical Medical Judgment 487
 - .274 Research as a Result of Litigation 489
 - .3 Case Management 491
 - .31 Preliminary Considerations in Assessing Expert Testimony 491
 - .32 The Initial Conference 494
 - .33 Disclosures 499
 - .34 Discovery Control and Management 503
 - .341 Discovery of Testifying Experts 503
 - .342 Discovery of Nontestifying Experts 504
 - .343 Discovery of Nonretained Experts 504
 - .344 Discovery of Court-Appointed Experts 505
 - .345 Use of Videotaped Depositions 505
 - .35 Motion Practice 506
 - .351 Initiating a *Daubert* Inquiry 507
 - .352 Timing of Challenges to Expert Testimony 508
 - .353 Handling a Challenge to Expert Testimony 509
 - .354 Summary Judgment 512
 - .36 Final Pretrial Conference 513
 - .37 Trial 514

Part III: Particular Types of Litigation 517

- 30. Antitrust 519
 - .1 Managing the Issues 519
 - .2 Transactional and Economic Data, and Expert Opinions 522
 - .3 Conflicts of Interest 524
 - .4 Related Proceedings 524
- 31. Securities 527
 - .1 Introduction 527
 - .2 Statutory Framework 528
 - .3 The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act 529
 - .31 Class Representatives and Lead Plaintiffs 531

Contents

.32 Pleading Requirements	540
.33 Safe Harbor	543
.34 Discovery Stays	544
.4 Initial Pretrial Conference	545
.5 Class Actions and Derivative Actions	550
.6 Discovery	553
.7 Takeover Litigation	554
.71 Initial Conference	554
.72 Injunctive Relief	556
.73 Discovery	558
.8 Trial and Settlement	558
 32. Employment Discrimination	561
.1 Introduction	561
.2 The Statutory Framework	562
.21 Title VII: Discrimination in Employee Hiring and Advancement	564
.22 The Civil Rights Act of 1964: Discrimination in Contracting	567
.23 Age Discrimination in Employment Act	568
.24 Americans with Disabilities Act	570
.25 Family and Medical Leave Act	571
.3 Developments in the Law of Employment Discrimination	572
.4 Case Management	576
.41 Initial Pretrial Conference	576
.42 Class Actions	579
.43 Discovery	586
.431 Identification of Source Materials	586
.432 Computerized Records	587
.433 Confidential Information	588
.434 Preservation of Records	589
.435 Statistical Evidence and Expert Testimony	589
.436 Discovery from Class Members	590
.44 Summary Judgment	591
.45 Trial	592
.46 Settlement	596
.461 Timing	596
.462 Affirmative Relief	596
.463 Attorney Fees	597
.464 Settlement Hearing	597
.465 Implementation	597
 33. Intellectual Property	599
.1 Introduction	599
.2 Patent Law	600
.21 The Statutory Framework	600
.22 Claim Construction Under <i>Markman v. Westview Instruments</i>	602
.221 Holding a <i>Markman</i> Hearing	604
.222 Structuring the <i>Markman</i> Hearing	606
.223 Timing the <i>Markman</i> Hearing	607
.224 Requesting a <i>Markman</i> Hearing	610
.225 Appeal	611
.23 Defining the Issues in Patent Litigation	611
.24 Injunctive Relief	619
.25 Discovery	622
.26 Experts	625
.27 Trial	627

Manual for Complex Litigation, Fourth

.3 Copyright and Trademark Law	628
.31 Copyright	628
.311 Discovery	637
.312 Motions	639
.313 Experts	640
.32 Trademarks	640
34. CERCLA (Superfund)	643
.1 Introduction	643
.11 Statutory Framework	646
.12 The Three Phases of CERCLA Litigation	650
.2 Case Management	654
.21 Setting Up the Case	662
.22 Special Masters and Magistrate Judges	664
.23 Related Litigation	665
.24 Organizing Counsel	667
.25 Centralized Document Management	669
.26 Narrowing the Issues	670
.27 Joinder	672
.28 Managing Discovery	674
.29 Scientific and Technical Expert Testimony	676
.3 Settlement and Trial	677
.31 Allocation	677
.32 Settlement	682
.33 Approval of Consent Decrees	686
.34 Structuring the Trial	687
35. Civil RICO	689
.1 Introduction	689
.2 Statutory Framework	692
.3 Case Management	702
.31 Pleadings	702
.32 Initial Conference	708
.33 Discovery	720
.34 Motion Practice	721
.35 Trial	722
Part IV: Sample Orders	725
40. Sample Orders	727
.1 Order Setting Initial Conference	730
.2 Sample Case-Management Orders	734
.21 General	734
.22 Responsibilities of Designated Counsel	741
.23 Attorneys' Time and Expense Records	743
.24 Scheduling Order	744
.25 Preservation of Documents, Data, and Tangible Things	746
.26 Document Depositories	749
.261 Order to Meet and Confer to Establish Joint Document Depository	749
.262 Order to Establish Separate Document Depositories	751
.27 Confidentiality Order	752
.28 Referral of Privilege Claims to Special Master	754
.29 Deposition Guidelines	756
.3 Order Creating a Web Site	762

Contents

.4 Class Actions Orders	763
.41 Order Certifying Class	763
.42 Order Setting Hearing on Proposed Class Settlement	765
.43 Combined Certification and Proposed Settlement Order	766
.44 Order Approving Settlement/Claims Procedure	768
.5 Orders in Special Cases	770
.51 Coordinating Proceedings in Different Courts	770
.52 Mass Tort Case-Management Order	773
.53 CERCLA Case-Management Order	779
.54 Civil RICO Case-Statement Order	783
.6 Sample Final Pretrial Orders	786
.7 Jury Questionnaire	786
Index	787

Blank page inserted for correct pagination
when printing double-sided copies.

Preface

This fourth edition of the *Manual for Complex Litigation* stands on the shoulders of the three previous editions and in the debt of the following: Judge William W. Schwarzer, director of the Federal Judicial Center from 1990 to 1995, and primarily responsible for the third edition (1995); Judge Sam C. Pointer, who chaired the Board of Editors for the second edition (1985); Judge Alfred P. Murrah, the Center director who was the driving force behind the inaugural edition; Judge Thomas J. Clary, the chair of the initial Board of Editors; and the members of that Board.

The fourth edition of the *Manual* is adapted to new conditions and demands of federal litigation and reflects the work, experience, and insight of its Board of Editors. The Chief Justice, as chairman of the Board of the Federal Judicial Center, appointed the Board of Editors in 1999. He asked Judge Stanley Marcus, then a member of the Center's Board, to chair the Board of Editors. Judge Marcus has continued to oversee the *Manual's* completion even though his term as a Center Board member ended in 2002. His insight and experience as a federal district judge and now as a member of the court of appeals are reflected on every page of the *Manual*. So, too, does this edition reflect the many hours dedicated to the project by individual members of the Board of Editors. A complete list of individuals who worked on the *Manual* can be found at Acknowledgments, page xix.

This *Manual* is one of the flagship services of the Federal Judicial Center. It has been my pleasure, as director of the Center, to have worked with Judge Marcus and the Board of Editors, and with the staff of the Center and others, to bring this fourth edition into being.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Fern M. Smith". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Fern" being the most prominent.

Fern M. Smith
Director, Federal Judicial Center 1999–2003

Blank page inserted for correct pagination
when printing double-sided copies.

Acknowledgments

As with all Federal Judicial Center publications, this work is a tribute to the teamwork of its staff. In particular, thanks go to Meghan A. Dunn, Jill E. Evans, Elizabeth C. Wiggins, Thomas E. Willging, and Kenneth J. Withers for substantial assistance in drafting portions of the *Manual*. In addition, thanks go to the following: Linda Beavers, Joe Cecil, James Eaglin, Geoffrey Erwin, Richard Gibson, Jason Gilbert, Kristina Gill, Linda B. Gill, David Guth, Andrea Henson-Armstrong, Laural Hooper, Gil Hopenstand, Ilisa Horowitz, Martha Kendall, Gregory Langadinos, Marie Leary, Angelia N. Levy, Preston Manning, David Marshall, Dean Miletich, and Russell Wheeler.

We greatly appreciate the work of the many judges, professors, practitioners, and law clerks who offered their enthusiastic review and helpful comments: John Aldock, Esq., Hon. William Alsup, David Aman, John E. Ballow, Esq., David Barkan, Hon. Louis C. Bechtel, Hon. Edward R. Becker, John Beisner, Esq., Professor Margaret Berger, Kenneth J. Brown, Hon. Robert J. Bryan, Arthur Bryant, Esq., Professor Stephen B. Burbank, Elisabeth Cabraser, Esq., Professor Dan Capra, Stanley Chesley, Esq., Edward Cloutman, Esq., Professor Edward H. Cooper, Professor Jill Fisch, Hon. James C. Francis IV, Theodore L. Garrett, Esq., Professor S. Elizabeth Gibson, Hon. John F. Grady, Hon. Wm. Terrell Hodges (Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation), Gregory P. Joseph, Esq., Terrence M. Kalahurka, Esq., Bruce Keller, Esq., Professor Kenneth Kreiling, Michael C. LeVine, Professor Richard L. Marcus, Hon. Jed S. Rakoff, Deborah Reyher, Paul Rheingold, Esq., Anthony Z. Roisman, Esq., Hon. Barbara Jacobs Rothstein, Hon. Patti B. Saris, Hon. Shira Scheindlin, Hon. William W. Schwarzer, Hon. Milton Shadur, Hon. Walter K. Stapleton, Hon. Ben F. Tennile, North Carolina Business Court, Rebecca Tushnet, Esq., Melvyn I. Weiss, Esq., and Brian Wolfman, Esq.

Blank page inserted for correct pagination
when printing double-sided copies.

Introduction

The impetus for this fourth edition of the *Manual for Complex Litigation* was, as with the previous editions, significant change in the landscape of federal litigation and the increasing responsibilities of federal trial judges. A recommendation of the Mass Tort Working Group, appointed by the Chief Justice, served as a catalyst for this project.¹ Major changes include, but are hardly limited to, the growth in class action and mass tort litigation, and the trial judge's heightened role imposed by *Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals*² and cases following it, and by *Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc.*³ The *Manual's* orientation, however, differs little from the first incarnation. It “contains neither a simplified outline for the easy disposition of complex litigation nor an inflexible formula or mold into which all trial or pretrial procedure must be cast.”⁴

Users should keep in mind several things about this edition. First, it is not, and should not be cited as, authoritative legal or administrative policy. As noted at page iii, it contains analyses and recommendations of the Board of Editors, but each member of the Board does not necessarily subscribe to all parts of the *Manual*. It was produced under the auspices of the Federal Judicial Center, but the Center has no authority to prescribe practices for federal judges. The *Manual's* recommendations and suggestions are merely that. As always, the management of any matter is within the discretion of the trial judge.

Second, although federal trial judges are the *Manual's* primary audience, the techniques and procedures discussed may be useful in state courts as well, particularly in view of the convergence that is occurring in related litigation pending in both state and federal court systems. Reference to the *Manual* may assist in the coordination of such litigation. The *Manual* will also assist lawyers, who share with judges the responsibility for managing complex litigation in which they are involved.

Third, as with the previous editions, this edition's “organization . . . belies the fact that its subject matter is not neatly divisible into distinct topics.”⁵ Nor is the term “complex litigation” susceptible to any bright-line definition. Part I

1. Mass Tort Working Group, Report on Mass Tort Litigation, 187 F.R.D. 293, 324 (1999).

2. 509 U.S. 579 (1993).

3. 517 U.S. 370 (1996).

4. Handbook of Recommended Procedures for the Trial of Protracted Cases, 25 F.R.D. 351, 355 (1960) (quoted in *Manual for Complex Litigation*, Second, § 10 (1985)).

5. *Manual for Complex Litigation*, Third, § 10.2 (1995).

Manual for Complex Litigation, Fourth

treats generic topics in complex (and other) litigation, such as pretrial and trial procedures and attorney fees. Part II analyzes special problems in complex litigation, such as class actions and expert scientific evidence. Part III has separate sections on complex litigation in various subject areas, such as antitrust and intellectual property. Part IV includes sample orders and forms. As the *Manual for Complex Litigation, Third* said, however, “[a] topic, such as settlement or class actions, will be relevant to the discussion at different points.”⁶ Thus, this edition too contains extensive cross-references. This fourth edition contains much new and revised material and has a somewhat different format and numbering system than that of the *MCL 3d*. However, because civil and criminal case management differ significantly, and in order to keep this volume to a manageable size, this edition deals only with civil litigation.

Finally, it could go without saying that changes in statutes, case law, regulations, and technology will quickly date some specific references in the *Manual*, and users need to exercise standard research practices when using the *Manual*. For example, prospective legislative changes in class action rules remained pending as the *Manual* went to press, and the precise changes could not be forecast. Before this edition went to press, significant changes in Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23 and 53 were approved by the Supreme Court⁷ and were before Congress pursuant to the Rules Enabling Act.⁸ Because congressional acceptance of the amendments seemed likely, and the amended rules differed significantly from those in effect prior to December 1, 2003, the *Manual*, when treating class actions, uses the amended rules and the committee notes about those amendments.

In offering an array of litigation management techniques and procedures, the *Manual* does not recommend that every complex litigation necessarily employ any such procedures or follow a standard pattern. Choices will depend on the needs of the litigation and many other considerations. What the *Manual* does urge is that choices be made, and that they be made starting early in the litigation. While those decisions are largely the responsibility of the court, the judge should not take the case from the lawyers, but rather provide guidance and direction, setting limits and applying controls as needed. Additional Center publications on litigation management can be found at <http://www.fjc.gov>.

Complex litigation should not be viewed as monolithic. In some areas of law, such as antitrust and securities litigation, substantive and procedural rules

6. *Id.*

7. See letters of the Chief Justice to the Speaker of the House and the President of the Senate, March 27, 2003, and amendments adopted by the Supreme Court, in “2002 Term Court Orders,” at <http://www.supremecourtus.gov> (last visited Nov. 10, 2003).

8. 28 U.S.C. § 2074 (2000).

Introduction

are relatively well settled, as are management techniques. In others, such as environmental, civil rights, and mass tort litigation, rules are still emerging or undergoing change. While all complex litigation challenges courts, the unsettled areas present the greatest challenges.

Much complex litigation, therefore, will take the judge and counsel into sparsely charted terrain with little guidance on how to respond to pressing needs for effective management. Practices and principles that served in the past may not be adequate, their adaptation may be difficult and controversial, and novel and innovative ways may have to be found. While this *Manual for Complex Litigation, Fourth* should be helpful within the limits of its mission, it should be viewed as open-ended, and judges are encouraged to be innovative and creative to meet the needs of their cases while remaining mindful of the bounds of existing law and any variations within their own circuits.

all disclosures and discovery responses are complete and correct when made, and that requests, objections, and responses conform to the requirements of the Federal Rules;

- providing for compliance with the supplementation requirements of Rules 26(e)(1) and (2)¹¹¹ by setting periodic dates for additional reports;
- requiring periodic status reports to monitor the progress of discovery (which can be informal, by letter or telephone); and
- issuing an order, which may be a part of the scheduling order required by Rule 16(b) (see section 11.212), which incorporates the discovery plan (for a sample order, see section 40.24).

11.422 Limitations

Discovery control in complex litigation may take a variety of forms, including time limits, restrictions on scope and quantity, and sequencing. The Federal Rules and the court's inherent power provide the court with broad authority. Among other provisions, Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(b) directs the court to limit the time for discovery, and Rule 26(b) empowers the court to limit the "frequency or extent of use of the discovery methods" under the rules, including the length of depositions. Rule 30(a) imposes a presumptive limit of ten depositions per side. Rule 30(d) has a presumptive durational limit of one 7-hour day for any deposition. Rule 33 establishes a presumptive limit of twenty-five interrogatories per party (see sections 11.451, 11.462). Rule 26(f)(3) requires the parties to address discovery limits in their proposed discovery plan.

Presumptive limits should be set early in the litigation, before discovery has begun. Information about the litigation will be limited at that time, so limits may need to be revised in the light of later developments. But they should be imposed on the basis of the best information available at the time, after full consultation with counsel, and with the understanding that they will remain binding until further order. In determining appropriate limits, the court will need to balance efficiency and economy against the parties' need to develop an adequate record for summary judgment or trial. This task further underlines the importance of clarifying and understanding the issues in the case before

111. Rule 26(e)(2) does not apply to deposition testimony, but when the deposition of an expert from whom a report was required under Rule 26(a)(2)(B) reveals changes in the expert's opinion, it triggers the duty of supplementation imposed by Rule 26(e)(1). *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 committee note; Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(C).

imposing limits.¹¹² The following are examples of discovery limits that a judge might consider:

- *Time limits and schedules.* The discovery plan should include a schedule for the completion of specified discovery, affording a basis for judicial monitoring of progress. Setting a discovery cutoff date¹¹³ is an important objective, but may not be feasible at the initial conference in complex litigation. The discovery cutoff should not be so far in advance of the anticipated trial date that the product of discovery becomes stale and the parties' preparation outdated. Time limits impose valuable discipline on attorneys, forcing them to be selective and helping to move the case expeditiously, but standing alone they may be insufficient to control discovery costs. Unless time limits are complemented by other limitations, attorneys may simply conduct multi-track discovery, thereby increasing expense and prejudicing parties with limited resources. To prevent time limits from being frustrated, the judge should rule promptly on disputes so that further discovery is not delayed or hampered while a ruling is pending. Although attorneys will sometimes argue over "priorities," the rules provide for no such presumptive standing.
- *Limits on quantity.* Time limits may be complemented by limits on the number and length of depositions, on the number of interrogatories, and on the volume of requests for production. Imposing such limitations only after hearing from the attorneys makes possible a reasonably informed judgment about the needs of the case. Limitations are best applied sequentially to particular phases of the litigation, rather than as aggregate limitations. When limits are placed on discovery of voluminous transactions or other events, consider using statistical sampling techniques to measure whether the results of the discovery fairly represent what unrestricted discovery would have been expected to produce (section 11.493 discusses statistical sampling).
- *Phased, sequenced, or targeted discovery.* Counsel and the judge will rarely be able to determine conclusively early in the litigation what discovery will be necessary; some discovery of potential relevance at the outset may be rendered irrelevant as the litigation proceeds, and the need for other discovery may become known only through later developments. For effective discovery control, initial discovery should focus on matters—witnesses, documents, information—that appear

112. See Schwarzer & Hirsch, *supra* note 97.

113. See *In re Fine Paper Antitrust Litig.*, 685 F.2d 810 (3d Cir. 1982).

pivotal. As the litigation proceeds, this initial discovery may render other discovery unnecessary or provide leads for further necessary discovery. Initial discovery may also be targeted at information that might facilitate settlement negotiations or provide the foundation for a dispositive motion; a discovery plan may call for limited discovery to lay the foundation for early settlement discussions. Targeted discovery may be nonexhaustive, conducted to produce critical information rapidly on one or more specific issues. In permitting this kind of discovery, it is important to balance the potential savings against the risk of later duplicative discovery should it be necessary to resume the deposition of a witness or the production of documents. Targeted discovery may in some cases be appropriate in connection with a motion for class certification; however, matters relevant to such a motion may be so intertwined with the merits that targeting discovery would be inefficient. See sections 11.41 and 21.2.

- *Subject-matter priorities.* Where the scope of the litigation is in doubt at the outset—as, for example, in antitrust litigation—the court should consider limiting discovery to particular time periods or geographical areas, until the relevance of expanded discovery has been established. See section 11.41.
- *Sequencing by parties.* Although discovery by all parties ordinarily proceeds concurrently, sometimes one or more parties should be allowed to proceed first. For example, if a party needs discovery to respond to an early summary judgment motion, that party may be given priority. Some judges establish periods in which particular parties have exclusive or preferential rights to take depositions, and in multiple litigation, those judges direct that discovery be conducted in some cases before others. Sometimes judges order “common” discovery to proceed in a specified sequence, without similarly limiting “individual” discovery in the various cases.
- *Forms of discovery.* Some judges prescribe a sequence for particular types of discovery—for example, interrogatories may be used to identify needed discovery and documents, followed by requests for production of documents, depositions, and finally requests for admission.

If the court directs that discovery be conducted in a specified sequence, it should grant leave to vary the order for good cause, as when emergency depositions are needed for witnesses in ill health or about to leave the country.

den or expense on the person subpoenaed.¹⁷⁴ Objections to production must be made in writing by the subpoenaed person; the requesting party must then move for an order to compel production.¹⁷⁵ If granted, the order must protect the nonparty from significant expense resulting from the inspection or copying¹⁷⁶—the order may also protect against disclosure of privileged, confidential, or otherwise protected material and undue burden.¹⁷⁷

11.45 Depositions

- .451 Limitations and Controls 83
- .452 Cost-Saving Measures 85
- .453 Deferred Supplemental Depositions 87
- .454 Scheduling 88
- .455 Coordination with Related Litigation 89
- .456 Control of Abusive Conduct 89

Depositions are often overused and conducted inefficiently, and thus tend to be the most costly and time-consuming activity in complex litigation. The judge should manage the litigation so as to avoid unnecessary depositions, limit the number and length of those that are taken, and ensure that the process of taking depositions is as fair and efficient as possible.

11.451 Limitations and Controls

The court has broad authority to limit depositions. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 30(a)(2)(A) and 31(a)(2)(A) impose a presumptive limit of ten depositions each for plaintiffs, defendants, and third-party defendants (local rules may also restrict the number of depositions). Rule 30(d)(2) presumptively limits a deposition to one 7-hour day. While the parties may stipulate around the presumptive limit (unless prohibited to do so by the court), the court always has final authority under Rule 26(b)(2) to limit the number and length of depositions. Limits on depositions may also be imposed indirectly by the setting of the trial date or a discovery cutoff date. In large-stake cases, such limits can be evaded by multitrack discovery (concurrent depositions) in the absence of a further order by the court. Despite their cost and the potential for unfairness, such multitrack depositions may be a practical necessity to expedite cases in which time is of the essence. See section 11.454.

174. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(c)(1).

175. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(c)(2)(B).

176. *Id.*

177. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(c)(3).

In exercising its authority to limit depositions, the court should use the information provided by the parties about the need for the proposed depositions, the subject matter to be covered, and the available alternatives. The extent to which the judge considers each particular deposition, categories of depositions, or only the deposition program as a whole will depend on the circumstances of the litigation. The judge may, for example, condition the taking of certain depositions, such as those of putative class members, on prior court approval. The judge's involvement in the development of this phase of the discovery plan should be sufficient to establish meaningful control over the time and resources to be expended. Aside from setting appropriate limits, the judge should also be concerned with the time and place of the depositions, including proposed travel and the recording methods.¹⁷⁸

To ensure that abusive practices do not frustrate the limits placed on depositions in the discovery plan, the judge should insist on observance of rules for the fair and efficient conduct of depositions. Rule 30(d)(1) requires that objections be stated “concisely and in a non-argumentative and non-suggestive manner”; local rules or standing orders may also establish guidelines for objections.¹⁷⁹ Under Rule 30(d)(1), counsel may instruct a deponent to not answer only for the purpose of enforcing a court-imposed limitation on evidence, or if preparing a motion under Rule 30(d)(3) to limit or terminate the examination for bad faith or harassment or to preserve a privilege (to the extent possible, disputed claims of privilege should be resolved in advance of the deposition). More stringent limitations may be imposed by local rule or by court order when necessary.¹⁸⁰ In addition, some judges issue guidelines covering the following matters:

- who may attend depositions;
- where the depositions are to be taken;
- who may question the witness;

178. Authority for judicial management of deposition discovery can be found in the federal rules. *E.g.*, Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(d) committee note (2000 amendment); Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b), 30(d) committee notes (1993 amendment). For an example of comprehensive guidelines for deposition discovery not having the force of local rules or orders, but strongly encouraged by the court, see Civil Practice Fed. Court Comm., *Introduction to Civil Discovery Practice in the Southern District of Alabama* 11–16 (S.D. Ala. 1998), at <http://www.als.uscourts.gov/district-court/forms/discprat.pdf> (last visited Jan. 7, 2004).

179. See, *e.g.*, D.S.C. Civ. R. 30.04; N.D. Ohio Civ. R. 30.1. For a discussion of attorney conduct in depositions and citations to a number of cases construing local rules and standing orders, see *Hall v. Clifton Precision*, 150 F.R.D. 525, 527 (E.D. Pa. 1993). But see *In re Stratosphere Corp. Sec. Litig.*, 182 F.R.D. 614, 621 (D. Nev. 1998) (noting that deposition conduct orders should be narrowly drawn to avoid interfering with the deponent's right to counsel).

180. See *Hall v. Clifton Precision*, 150 F.R.D. 525 (E.D. Pa. 1993).

- how the parties are to allocate the costs; and
- how the attorneys are to conduct themselves.¹⁸¹

Rule 30(d)(3) expressly authorizes sanctions for “impediment, delay or other conduct that has frustrated the fair examination of the deponent.”

Inefficient management of documents at a deposition can interfere with the deposition’s proper conduct. The discovery plan should establish procedures for marking deposition exhibits, handling copies and originals, and exchanging in advance all papers about which the examining party intends to question the witness (except those to be used for genuine impeachment).¹⁸²

11.452 Cost-Saving Measures

In addition to the general discovery practices discussed in section 11.42, there are numerous techniques used to streamline deposition discovery:

- *Informal interviews.* Informal interviews of potential witnesses may be arranged with the agreement of counsel. However, an attorney may not communicate with a represented party without the consent of that party’s counsel. If the represented party is an organization, the prohibition extends to persons with managerial responsibility and any other person whose act or omission may be imputed to the organization or whose statement may constitute an admission on the part of the organization.¹⁸³ The prohibition does not extend to former corporate employees.¹⁸⁴ Informal interviews may be useful for persons who have only limited knowledge or involvement and who are unlikely to be called as witnesses at trial. The witness may be sworn and the interview recorded electronically for possible use later in the case; by agreement or court order, the interview may also be converted into a nonstenographic deposition.
- *Nonstenographic depositions.* The party taking a deposition may record it on audio or videotape instead of stenographically without having it transcribed. With prior notice to the deponent and other parties, any other party may make its own recording of the deposition.¹⁸⁵ Video-taped depositions offer a number of advantages: They help deter mis-

181. See sample order *infra* section 40.22.

182. See, e.g., *In re San Juan Dupont Plaza Hotel Fire Litig.*, MDL No. 721, 1989 WL 168401, at *43–44 (D.P.R. Dec. 2, 1988) (five days’ advance notice).

183. Model Rules of Prof’l Conduct R. 4.2 & cmt. (2002).

184. ABA Comm. on Ethics and Prof’l Responsibility, Formal Op. 91-359 (1991). The law of the circuit should be consulted for recent developments in this area of the law.

185. Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(3).